UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/686,154 10/15/2003		Thomas W. Davison	ENDIUS.26CP1C3	6193
20995 7590 KNORRE MARTI	01/19/2007 ENS OLSON & BEA	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			BUI, VY Q	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3734	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PR	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
21 DAV		01/10/2007	FI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 01/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary for Applications **Under Accelerated Examination**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/686,154	DAVISON, THOMAS W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vy Q. Bui	3734		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Since this application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program,

NO extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted and a SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE:

ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER,

FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - if this is a non-final action or a Quayle action.

(Examiner: For FINAL actions, please use PTOL-326.)

The objective of the accelerated examination program is to complete the examination of an application within twelve months from the filing date of the application. Any reply must be filed electronically via EFS-Web so that the papers will be expeditiously processed and considered. If the reply is not filed electronically via EFS-Web, the final disposition of the

application may occur later than twelve months from the filing of the application.	
Status	
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 April 2005</u>. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 	
Disposition of Claims	
3)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-106</u> is/are pending in the application. 3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
4) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
7) Claim(s) <u>1-106</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examin Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CF Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action 	R 1.85(a). b. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or a) All b) Some * c) None of:	(f) . ·

)∐ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
ee the	attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

711100	
1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
	Paper Ne/s/Meil Data

4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) [Notice of Informal Patent Applicati

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-68, drawn to a system of device, classified in class 606, subclass 198.
- II. Claims 69-106, drawn to a method of fixing a spine location of a patient, classified in class 606, subclass 86.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in an endoscopic operation.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 3734

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vy Q. Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3734

01/08/07